

<b>Family Name</b>	Procter
<b>Given Name</b>	Chris
<b>Person ID</b>	1285896
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Procter
<b>Given Name</b>	Chris
<b>Person ID</b>	1285896
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Policy JP-G6 fails to recognise that the interests of urban green spaces and the communities that use them are sometimes diametrically opposed to those of developers. Whilst the policy is being discussed small areas much loved by local residents are being hoovered up by developers across Greater Manchester and the local planning committees are standing to the side. this has been going on for many years and no amount of policy has stopped it.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The new policy thus needs to make clear that "existing urban green space will be protected above the interests of conflicting demands, in particular new housing development"